

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,738	02/28/2002	Kevin S. Weadock	15314 (ETH-1636)	8872	
Scully, Scott, I	7590 05/22/2009 Murphy & Presser	EXAM	EXAMINER		
400 Garden Ci	ity Plaza	EREZO, DARWIN P			
Garden City, N	NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/085,738	WEADOCK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Darwin P. Erezo	3773		

	Darwin P. Erezo	3//3						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) Mean period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period can under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants arguments are not persuasive. The applicant regued that the Thomas reference fails to teach a substantially cylindrical body. However, as written the Office action mailed on 2/13/09, the examiner is viewing elements 22 and 23 as the combined cylindrically body. Note that the claim recite the term "substantially" withich allows some portions of the body to have a different shape. Element 23 is viewed as this protion and is also viewed as the proximal surface configured to appose the surface of vessel 24. The distal end of element 22 is viewed as the distal surface, and the longitudinal length of element 22 is viewed as the side surface, which is substantially cylindrical. Also note the preamble merely recites a device or method for creating an end to side anastomosis between a first and second vessel 2. Homas discloses an anastomosis between a first and second vessel 2.